

SSIS Mentor Program Advisory Committee Meeting

1/17/06

Topic	Discussion	Action Item
Welcome	<p>Welcome and attendance taken.</p> <p>In attendance w/Region number: Peggy Viznor(1), Heidi Olson (2), Christopher Worth (3), Rita Berg (6), Cathy Higgins (8), Ruth DeSaer (9), Joy Beebe (10), Michele Bennett (11 &12)</p> <p>Not attending: Annie DeBevec & Randy Carlson (5), Teresa Schmidt (4), Margaret Sodetani or Wanda Houska (11) Jan Stransky (7))</p>	
Dates of future calls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tuesday, February 7, 10:00-11:00AM • Tuesday, March 7, 10:00-11:00AM • April TBD 	Note date and time change per request of members.
Mentor meeting feedback	<p>The members stated that the presentations were good and that the Supplemental Eligibility presentation was confusing to some but that the follow up by Mary brought the technical parts into it and this aided their understanding.</p> <p>One member stated it would be great if there could be more policy in writing about CW-TCM and IV-E. I assured them that I would be working to get policy to attend another mentor meeting to facilitate this request.</p> <p>Some members stated that maybe at this time there could be just one meeting to facilitate high levels of presentations and more details at the individual meetings if mentors needed it. Some mentors just need the overview for both to be able to bring it back at this point. As training time nears, then they would like more detail maybe at Regional meetings also.</p>	Will consider this request when determining agenda items for mentor meetings.
County Requests	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) Counties need a month by month breakdown/plan for Fiscal of what they should be doing and what will happen if they don't do it when they are advised to. Many counties may not realize the impact of a decision to delay entering information. 2.) Could there be an addition to the placement handouts that includes information about Removal Conditions and Placement Closings including Continuous placements? 3.) There was a bulletin from the MN Dept of Health related to the retention of (MN Senior Health Opportunity) MSHO cases that requires a 10 year retention requirement. Does this mean all Adult Services, General Workgroups need to be retained for 10 years? <p>Ans: Some counties are choosing to retain all Adult Services, General Workgroups for the 10 years because they can be changed. Counties could also elect to identify only those related to MSHO services and increase those workgroups. Remember, all Adult Protection WGs have a Must Destroy date that cannot be changed.</p>	<p>Request to Mary K.</p> <p>Request to Training team</p>

Topic	Discussion	Action Item
Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There was also a discussion about the continuing value of the MPAC meetings. Responses included:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Important information discussed.○ Valuable because we don't have to travel and get to ask other counties how they do things.○ Good discussions○ Feel like we have input to SSIS issues.○ If we all can't meet Thursdays maybe we could meet on Tuesdays in the morning.○ Good topics○ Decision to schedule some on Tuesday in the morning and see how that works out.	
Next meeting	Tuesday, February 7, 2006 10:00AM-11:00AM	